

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 672 of 1988

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

AMRUTLAL CHHAGANLAL

Versus

VASANTLAL CHHAGANLAL

Appearance:

1. Civil Revision Application No. 672 of 1988
..... for Petitioners No. 1-3
NOTICE UNSERVED for Petitioners No. 1-3
UNSERVED-EXPIRED (N) for Respondent No. 1
NOTICE UNSERVED for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

Date of decision: 18/02/2002

ORAL JUDGEMENT

On elevation of the learned Counsel for the

petitioners as a Judge of this Court, notice was issued to the petitioners at their registered address, but it came back with the report that the petitioners were not residing at the given address and someone else was residing there. Notice was also issued to the respondents on the death of their learned Counsel, but respondent No.1 was reported to be dead and respondent No.2 was reported to be of unsound-mind. In the circumstances, the petition seems to have become infructuous and the same is accordingly disposed of. Rule discharged. Interim relief stands vacated.

18-2-2002 (M. C. Patel, J.)

vinod